

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,740	11/21/2001	George B. Hopple	CDST-C169-1P	1712
7590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		$\langle \gamma \rangle$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/993,74 0	HOWARD, HARRY RALPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
- المراجع المرا	Trinh T Nguyen	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to treply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dated will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 April 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-57 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•, ,	, ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	tion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
a) The translation of the foreign language	•				
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/993,741

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-53, drawn to a method of fabricating a support structure, classified in class 29, subclass 897.2.
 - II. Claims 54-57, drawn to a method of sandblasting, classified in class 451.
- 2. **Inventions I and II** are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because **Invention I** does not require the step of propelling frozen particles at a pressure sufficient to sandblast a first material and Invention II does not require the step of etching a layer of material such that a support structure is implementable during assembly of a display device.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,74 D

Art Unit: 3644

5. Furthermore, if **Invention I** is selected then <u>a further election is required to elect</u> from one of these patentably distinct species:

Species I: A method of fabricating a support structure as described in lines 18-23 of page 6 of the specification and as directed to claims 1-16, and 45-53.

Species II: A method of fabricating a support structure as described in lines 23-25 of page 6 of the specification and directed to claims 17-30.

Species III: A method of fabricating a support structure as described in line 25 of page 6 and lines 1-2 of page 7 of the specification and directed to claims 31-44.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,74 10

Art'Unit: 3644

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on (703) 306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ttn 10/1/03.